

Recent competition policy initiatives, legislative developments and challenges ahead

ICN Unilateral Conduct WG – Plenary 2

Tokyo, 7 March 2023

Vera Pozzato, DG Competition, European Commission

Outline

- Reflection on developments of case law on unilateral conduct since 2009
- Ongoing evaluation of Regulation 1/2003
- The Digital Markets Act



1. Reflections on developments of the case law on unilateral conduct since 2009



1. Developments of case law on Article 102 TFEU

- In the past 15 years, the EU courts delivered **more than 30 judgments** on exclusionary abuses and the Commission adopted **26 decisions** (both under Art. 7 and Art.9)
- The judgements concerned several types of abuses, including:
 - Exclusive purchasing agreements (Unilever)
 - Self-preferencing (Google Shopping)
 - Refusal to supply (Slovak Telekom, Baltic Rail)
 - Conditional rebates (Post Danmark II, Intel, Google Android)
 - Tying (Google Android)
 - Margin squeeze (Telia Sonera, Telefònica)



2. Key issues

- Case law has endorsed a more effects-based approach to Art.
 102 TFEU
- General standard for intervention is "capability / potential effects" of a conduct (Google Shopping, SEN)
- Role of «as-efficient-competitor» test (Intel, Google Android, Unilever)
- «Constructive refusal to supply» test (Slovak Telekom, Baltic Rail)
- Margin squeeze as independent abuse (Telia Sonera, Telefònica)

3. Conclusions

- Move towards effects-based approach to be welcomed as beneficial to consumers and businesses
- At the same time, important to stress that effects-based enforcement does not become synonym for lax enforcement → it is key to maintain a workable substantive standard for the enforcement of Article 102
- DG COMP continues reflecting on case law developments and adapting its enforcement action as a result



2. Evaluation of Regulations 1/2003 and 773/2004



1. Background

- Regulation 1/2003 establishes the basic framework for the application of antitrust rules by the Commission
- Regulation 773/2004 is the implementing regulation
- 16 December 2022 marked 20 years since Regulation 1/2003 was adopted
- An evaluation process was launched on 30 March 2022 to assess whether the 2 Regulations are "fit for the digital age", in the current context of increasing complexity of investigation and strict judicial scrutiny, coupled with scarce resources



2. Evaluation exercise

- While the whole system is being evaluated, there is a specific focus on certain areas in light of the Commission's enforcement experience:
 - The Commission's investigative powers, notably requests for information, the power to take statements and inspections
 - The procedural rights of parties to investigations, particularly in relation to the exercise of the right to be heard
 - The procedural rights of third parties, particularly as regards the handling of formal complaints
 - The Commission's enforcement decisions, e.g. with regard to the power to adopt interim measures
 - The functioning of the ECN



3. Consultation process

- Public consultation was launched on 30 June 2022 and closed on 6
 October 2022
 - General (on Have Your Say) and detailed questionnaires
 - 19 responses to general questionnaire and 24 responses to detailed questionnaire
 - 11 contributions on Call for Evidence
 - 8 contributions directly to the Commission
- + NCA consultation by means of questionnaires and discussion in ECN meetings



4. General overview of feedback

- Abolishing notifications was a success, confirmed usefulness of need for guidance (guidelines, decisions, informal guidance)
- Parallel enforcement: overall successful, some concerns expressed concerning divergent approaches between NCAs and Commission/cooperation within ECN
- Commission procedures are in general effective at the same time, calls for greater transparency and timeliness while protecting rights of defence and confidentiality
- General acknowledgment that investigative tools conceived for paper based environment – possible need to update toolbox to take into account digitalization of business activities (e.g. fully remote inspections, freezing orders)



3. The Digital Markets Act



1. The Digital Markets Act

 Digital Markets Act (DMA) proposal adopted by the Commission on 15 December 2020

- Final text adopted by legislator on 14 September 2022 and entered into force in October 2022
- DMA does not replace consumer protection legislation and complements existing competition law



2. Gatekeeper designation process

A provider of a core platform service can be designated as a gatekeeper when:

Requirements

- a) it has a significant impact on the internal market;
- it operates a core platform service which serves as an important gateway for business users to reach end users:
- a) it enjoys an entrenched and durable position in its operations or it is foreseeable that it will enjoy such a position in the near future ("emerging gatekeeper")

Qualitative designation following market investigation

- > Target: 12 months as of opening
- Emerging gatekeepers: subset of obligations aimed at fostering contestability
- > Investigation powers & due process

Rebuttable presumption

- a) Annual **EEA turnover** of 7.5 bn (last 3 FYs) <u>OR</u> average market capitalisation/equivalent fair market value of 75 bn (last FY) AND one CPS in at least 3 Member States
- b) 45 million monthly active end users and 10,000 yearly active business users established in the Union in last FY
- c) Where (b) is satisfied in each of the last 3 FY
- Notification obligation within 2 months after meeting the quantitative thresholds
- Designation within 45 days after receiving complete information, unless rebuttal based on substantiated arguments
- Market investigation (target: 5 months as of opening)



3. Core platform services

Criteria considered:

- highly concentrated platform services;
- one or very few large digital platforms set the commercial conditions irrespective of their competitors, customers or consumers;
- few large digital platforms act as gateways for business users to reach their consumers and vice-versa;
- gatekeeper power often misused by means of unfair behaviour

- online intermediation services (incl. esp. marketplaces, app stores),
- 2. online search engines,
- 3. operating systems,
- 4. cloud computing services;
- 5. video sharing platform services,
- 6. number-independent interpersonal electronic communication services,
- 7. social networking services
- 8. advertising services,
- **9.** web browsers and
- 10. virtual assistants.



4. Obligations

- Philosophy precise obligations, that are necessary and appropriate for contestability and fairness
- Applied to core platform services that meet the designation criteria
- Directly applicable obligations with only limited possibilities to obtain an exemption
- Some obligations are directly implementable (see article 5)
 while others may need further specification (see article 6)
- Compliance to be ensured within 6 months following a designation



5. Conclusion

- There is a clear role for competition law enforcement in digital markets (as in any other market)
- Ex ante regulation can complement competition enforcement and ensure that competition agencies can focus their resources on the most harmful cases
- Going forward, competition enforcement will likely continue to tackle both traditional forms of abuse and adapt itself to new forms of harmful behaviour





Thank you

© European Union 2023



rwise noted the reuse of this presentation is authorised under the <u>CC BY 4.0</u> license. For any use or reproduction of elements that are not owned by the production of elements that are not owned by the production of elements that are not owned by the production may need to be sought directly from the respective right holders.